



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,628	02/05/2004	Matti Sallberg	TRIEP.056A	8484

  

20995	7590	02/01/2007
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP		
2040 MAIN STREET		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR		
IRVINE, CA 92614		

  

EXAMINER	
HUMPHREY, LOUISE WANG ZHIYING	

  

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1648	

  

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,628	SALLBERG, MATTI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Louise Humphrey, Ph.D.	1648	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Louise Humphrey, Assistant Examiner. (3) Eric Furman, Reg. No. 45664.  
 (2) Jeffrey Paikin, Primary Examiner. (4) Bruce Campell, Supervisory Examiner.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 26-45.

Identification of prior art discussed: Galili et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives discussed the outstanding 103 rejection with the examiners. Applicant's representative argued that the Galili reference does not teach the invention because it teaches conjugating Gal epitope to a protein via cellular machinery, which does not limit the number of Gal epitopes to be attached, whereas the invention is made by synthetic chemical process, which results in a controlled number of the Gal epitopes attached to the CD4 fragment. The examiners agreed to consider more carefully after reviewing prior art. Applicant's representative agreed to add more structural limitations into the claims to more clearly distinguish the invention from prior art..